



University of Kentucky Student Government Senate

Wednesday, September 17th 2014, 7:00 PM

William T. Young Library, University of Kentucky Athletics Auditorium

- I. Call to Order**
- II. Roll Call**
- III. Approval of Minutes**
- IV. Vice President for Student Affairs, Dr. Mock and Student Government President, Jake Ingram – Student Health and Safety Implementation Task Force**
 - a. This is opportunity for student senators to provide feedback on PROPOSED new alcohol policy**
 - b. This comes from a task force made up of various different stakeholders – SBP, IFC, Panhellenic, NPHC Presidents were students involved**
 - c. Emphasis above all is on student health and safety**
 - d. Work group last semester did a lot of research, current task force began this summer**
 - e. Dr. Mock is presenting on content**
 - f. Revised Student Code, alcohol policy**
 - g. New Public Reporting Link is now active for community members to communicate with University**
 - h. New medical amnesty policy**
 - i. Addresses 5 main issues: Civil rights, Title IX, Student Code, Alcohol Policy, Taking Code off campus more aggressively**
 - j. Working with statisticians to assess relevant data**
 - i. There are approximately 4.5 student deaths per year**
 - k. Want to expand community opportunities like FUSION**
 - l. Goal: empower the Event Planning Committee to review and approve/deny applications to host events with alcohol**
 - i. EPC is a way to screen, provide recommendations, and ultimately approve events with alcohol**
 - m. Alumni events with alcohol in Greek housing are allowed twice per year, following planning procedures with EPC**
 - n. Insurance official: “there are no dry campuses” – no insurance increase with new policy**
 - o. Want tailgate area cleaned more effectively by Greek community**
 - p. Communicate new information with relevant stakeholders**
 - q. Researching ways to increase communication between Lexington police and University concerning safety, code violations**
 - r. Aiming for full implementation by March**
 - s. Students will need to put in local address when they register for classes – software will know whether it is real address or not**

- t. **Campus must respond promptly to sexual assault**
 - i. Complainant will now be able to know what happens to perpetrator; what steps were taken to eliminate hostile environment
 - ii. Nationwide – schools have 60 days to investigate and come to final outcome
 - iii. This Title IX requirement is no longer a violation of FERPA
- u. Designated spaces (indoor and out) where alcohol can be consumed
- v. Outlines where alcohol is allowed and where alcohol is prohibited
- w. Adheres to all relevant laws
- x. The Event Planning Group can review and approve events with alcohol from Student Organizations
- y. Changes organizational structure of the Office of Substance Education and Responsibility and recommends adding critical staff positions
- z. New Student Code of Conduct is meant to be better understood by people – less legalese
- aa. Focuses on social justice, is more user friendly, uses plain language, more easily comprehended. Moves conduct process away from being disciplinary towards restorative educational
- bb. Involves students more in the process
- cc. Includes guidance for medical amnesty
 - i. You can call for help even if, for instance, intoxicated underage
 - ii. Help save lives without fear of repercussions
- dd. Sexual assault cases can be heard by University and courts on parallel timeline
 - i. University operates on preponderance of evidence, can expel based on that on 60 day timeline, regardless of future legal results
- ee. Hearing board made up of specially trained staff members
- ff. Students are students as soon as they are enrolled
 - i. Code applies to students regardless of location – applies everywhere
- gg. Question clarifying policy on Greek Housing
 - i. Maximum of two events inside (which must have alumni component), no limit on outdoor events (on a patio)
 - 1. Greater risks are involved inside of venues
- hh. Question asking about greatest risks to student lives
 - i. Most likely suicide, then car wrecks under the influence
 - ii. What number makes something an alumni event?
 - i. A high percentage, possibly 51%. These should be clear cut alumni events – these are great fundraising opportunities
- jj. Question on patient confidentiality
 - i. University will use aggregate data – not specific records
- kk. Comment: Hoping that same emphasis put on Title IX that is being put on alcohol in Greek housing issue
 - i. Only vote in entire committee was the alcohol policy – much more controversial
 - ii. There was consensus on nearly everything else
 - iii. Major concerns about connection between alcohol abuse and sexual assault risk
- ll. Question on approval: will all of this be approved together, or separately? And what is the timeline?
 - i. Timeline set by the President – he says Spring Semester, no distinction on when exactly within Spring Semester

- ii. New points of contention are arising – for instance, could alcohol abuse be related to a medical condition, like alcoholism? How do we reintegrate people back into campus after they get help.
- iii. Still working to come up with best possible plan – there is no easy button. A lot of data still coming in
- mm. Comment: maybe we should make sure that people who say they have alcoholism are enrolled in AA meetings or something similar
- nn. Question: repercussions for drinking in a Greek house by those of legal age?
 - i. I don't know – something to look into
- oo. Question: Can Greek councils overrule decisions made by Event Planning Group?
 - i. Organizations must follow their own rules – cant give authority when their national organizations do not allow.
 - ii. Greek events must still go through their own councils – working on ways to integrate this into a less difficult process
- pp. Kentucky drinks more bourbon compared to most other campuses who drink more beer. It is much easier to become intoxicated by liquor.
- qq. Question: how will Greek control who is at these events?
 - i. Invite list or temporary fencing – Task force did not explicitly regulate this area – want to give some control to how they deal with these issues
- rr. Question: How do we replicate student input on committees made up only of staff
 - i. Sexual assault committee will not have students involved
- ss. Question: where does burden of proof lie?
 - i. We rely on preponderance of evidence. We do not claim to say the absolute full truth, just based on best available information
 - ii. We do not have an answer exactly where the burden of proof lies
- tt. Question: Are complaints attached to students or house?
 - i. Complaints attached to students. Landlords are often not anywhere near violations. This is the purpose of new tracking software.
- uu. Question: Strike policy?
 - i. Generally a three strike system but there is discretion in all instances
- vv. Question: Can rulings by sexual assault board be appealed to UAB?
 - i. Yes everything can be appealed to the UAB – there is always a student representative who hears these cases
- ww. Question: clarification on student residential tracking software
 - i. Software detects whether given residential address is real. You must give a real residential address in order to enroll in classes.
- xx. Question: Are you legally allowed to compell students to give their address?
 - i. Legal counsel said that it was allowed
- yy. Question: when will this be?
 - i. This will be concurrent with regular enrollement.
- zz. Question: Registered local address – what if something bad happens outside my registered residence that I have nothing to do with...other neighbors
 - i. You may be brought in to answer questions, but if others are responsible, process will apply to them
- aaa. Question: How often do the strikes refresh? Is it yearly?
 - i. I don't know, good question.
- bbb. Question: Haven survey?
 - i. Like alcohol edu but about sexual behavior/conduct
 - ii. Less than 10% of women who are raped ever report
- ccc. Comment on definition of consent
 - i. Can someone intoxicated provide consent? We think the answer is no.

ddd. Question: One in 3 women at UK assaulted. Suggest that Haven survey be done anonymously later on.

- i. That is the number that was reported based on 2007 survey. We expect number to go up as more people are willing to speak up.**

eee. Question: Reports to licensing boards?

- i. If you are in a healthcare profession, you may have a question that asks if you have ever been accused or charged with any student code violation.**
- ii. If there is a record somewhere, you may have to answer yes. This is an issue that we are dealing with.**

fff. Questions are finished.

ggg. Ingram: This is still a draft, we can still provide feedback. Please contact me and I will convey concerns, ideas. Thank you to Dr. Mock for being here.

V. Committee Reports

- a. Academic and Student Affairs – Chair Arayo Sokan**
 - i. Let me know if you have things of interest to student body**
- b. Appropriations and Revenue – Chair Kathleen Smith**
 - i. Ahead of schedule, getting a lot of applications which is great**
- c. Operations and Evaluations – Chair Dwight Haggard**
 - i. Comparing our constitution or constitution of UGA**

VI. Presidential Privilege

- a. Thank you for being so engaged with Dr. Mock.**
- b. Dining advisory committee needs appointments by end of the week – please let me or Austin know and we will get you on list.**
- c. Feedback committee needed for APAT as well**
- d. Also need focus groups for VIP Center**
- e. These focus groups and advisory committees are excellent way to engage with campus**
- f. Have been working on revising Mandatory Student Fee process**
 - i. Working with members of Administration to make this happen**
 - ii. Adds up to a little over \$500 per semester (\$11 for SGA)**
 - iii. In the past, fee allocations were made by committee made up of representative from each fee receiving organizations**
 - iv. There is an inherent conflict of interest if decisions are made only by those who stand to gain.**
 - v. There should be student representatives working with the interests of all students in mind.**
 - vi. New proposal would give power to all-student board. 2 ex officio members – SBVP and Senate President, plus 5 student representatives appointed by Student Body President and confirmed by Senate.**
 - vii. Representatives from EVPFA, Provost, and VP of Student Affairs would also be present.**
 - viii. Decisions made by this board would go straight to the President, no funneling through VP of Student Affairs.**
 - ix. This would be a big win for elevating student voices. Hoping for official change soon.**
 - x. Next Tuesday, 9/23, SGA and WRFL are having a large Rock the Vote Event to get students registered. Meets our mission of civic engagement**
 - 1. We need your help to share this message with everyone you know**

- VII. Orders of the Day:**
- a. S. 22-2015 UK Mock Trial (SSP) – Senator St. Clair
 - i. 28 members and 3 teams. People love it. Pay \$150 a piece
 - ii. This money allows us to be a force to be reckoned with
 - iii. Question: How did the tournament go last year?
 - 1. Team went 4-4 at tournament at Notre Dame, other teams not as great. Definitely middle of the pack
 - iv. Pass 97% yes 0% no 3% abstain
 - b. S. 23-2015 Phi Delta Epsilon (SSP) – Senators Zepeda & Wheatley
 - i. Started two years ago – international medical fraternity
 - ii. Gold Tie Gala for to benefit Kentucky Children’s Hospital
 - iii. Passed
 - c. S. 24-2015 Revised Budget Proposal – Senator Smith
 - i. Left off two staff members in original budget – salaries in the amount of \$5000. There was a revised revenue estimate \$5000 higher.
 - ii. Approved 94% yes 0% no 6% abstain

VIII. Announcements and Senators Privilege
 Wrap up America coming up, support!
 Community Health Fair was a great success!
 Rock the Vote is legit
 Polos are in!
 Election Board Apps are out, let people know to apply
 Nominate Dwight Haggard for Mr. UK – he can tap dance!
 Senator of the Week goes to Senator Lehman for straight killin’ it lately

IX. Members Privilege

X. Roll Call

XI. Adjournment

All meetings are open to the public.

For questions or concerns please contact:

Austin Mullen
 Senate President
 (859) 257-3191
 senate@uksga.org

An Act Relating To: UK Mock Trail		S. 22-2015
Sponsors:	Sen. Chelsea St. Clair	
Introduced:	09/15/14	

**BE IT ENACTED THAT THE STUDENT GOVERNMENT
ASSOCIATION OF THE UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY**

Provide funds for a Senate Special Project to assist UK Mock Trial at the total of \$1,800.

(See the following page for more details)

Name of Org: University of Kentucky Mock Trial
Members in Org: Approximately 30
Contact: Sunny Singh
Email: sunny_surya@hotmail.com

Phone: 859-404-1541

Purpose of Org: UK Mock Trial is a competitive organization which incorporates, law, theatre, speech, and problem solving to present an argument. With Mock Trial, we conduct trial simulations in which we present a different views of case. UK Mock Trial travels all over the country to compete. This allows the organization to spread University of Kentucky's name throughout the country. In the past, we have traveled to St. Louis, Northern Ohio, Cincinnati, and Louisville in order to compete.

Type of Grant: Senate Special Project (\$2,500)--Must Have a Sponsoring Senator

Event/Project: UK Mock Trial

Location: Kentucky/Ohio/Indiana/Missouri

Date: Fall/Spring Semester

Time: TBA

Purpose of Event/Project: The funds are requested to offset the costs of hotels, tournament fees, printing costs, and American Mock Trial Association registration fees.

Total Cost: Approximately \$4000

Org funds used: There are 3 sources of funds for the organization as of right now:

- 1) Membership Dues
- 2) High School Tournament Fee (High school teams from Kentucky come to University of Kentucky to compete, and we charge the teams) (Source of Fundraising)
- 3) Previous year's balance

Detailed Description of Request: Sources of Expenses:

1. AMTA Returning Fees - \$725.00
- 2) Printing costs - \$700 (Tentative)
- 3) Tournaments - (Amounts are tentative as of right now)
- 4) Hotels - (Amounts are tentative as of right now)

Detailed budget with notes will be presented in front of A&R Committee.

Received funding this year: No

Will use UK Managed Print Services: Yes

Open to all students: Yes

Agreed to Terms & Conditions: Yes

An Act Relating To: Phi Delta Epsilon		S. 23-2015
Sponsors:	Senators Ashley Zepeda & Jared Wheatley	
Introduced:	09/15/14	

**BE IT ENACTED THAT THE STUDENT GOVERNMENT
ASSOCIATION OF THE UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY**

Provide funds for a Senate Special Project to assist Phi Delta Epsilon at the amount of \$5,000.

(See the following page for more details)

Name of Org: Phi Delta Epsilon Medical Fraternity

Members in Org: University of Kentucky Undergraduate Students, currently 80 members (about to go through fall recruitment)

Contact: Connor Appelman

Email: connor.appelman@uky.edu

Phone: 606-584-3891

Purpose of Org: Phi Delta Epsilon International Medical Fraternity creates physicians of integrity with a life-long commitment to our guiding principles of philanthropy, deity, and education through fellowship, service, mentoring, and formal training in leadership, science, and ethics.

One of our guiding principles is philanthropy, and we have been working to raise money for our philanthropy, Kentucky Children's Hospital (KCH), a member of the larger Children's Miracle Network (CMN). CMN aims to save and improve the lives of as many children as possible. Our local KCH attends to 6,000 patients and an additional 60,000 children through clinics annually making it one of the major pediatric care providers in the state. CMN supports medical research and training, purchases new equipment for hospitals, and pays for uncompensated care for patients in need. To do our part in fundraising for this worthy mission, Phi Delta Epsilon will be hosting a gala with a silent auction and art gallery. All proceeds will benefit Kentucky Children's Hospital, our local CMN hospital.

Type of Grant: Senate Special Project (\$2500)--- Must have sponsoring senator

Event/Project: Children's Miracle Network Fundraising Gala

Location: Thoroughbred Center, 3380 Paris Pike, Lexington, KY 40511

Date: November 22nd, 2014

Time: 6:00pm-9:30pm

Purpose of Event/Project: Fundraising for Children's Miracle Network, specifically Kentucky Children's Hospital; Promoting awareness of the needs of Kentucky Children's Hospital and the support that Children's Miracle Network is able to give. The gala will also provide a way for students, family, and friends to give to Kentucky Children's Hospital in a hands on way, through a station for arranging baskets for patients at the Kentucky Children's Hospital.

Total Cost: 7049.7

Org funds used: \$1,700 for dinner (from member dues)

Detailed Description of Request: Double Senate Special Project Sponsored by Senator Jared Wheatley and Senator Ashley Zepeda:

\$1,799.70 to rent the Thoroughbred Center for an estimated 240 guests (venue, tables, chairs, 2 security personnel, projector and screen)

\$2,000 Kentucky Children's Hospital Arts & Crafts Basket Supplies & Blankets/Comfort Supplies (We are working with Chloe Hurley of Children's Miracle Network and Kentucky Children's Hospital to arrange baskets according to the most pressing needs of Kentucky Children's Hospital.)

\$450 Linens

\$400 Decorations/Lights/Canopy

\$200 Door Prizes

\$200 Sound Equipment/Microphones

\$150 Printing Posters/Invitations

\$100 Kentucky Children's Hospital/Children's Miracle Network/Phi Delta Epsilon Photo-booth

\$50 Silent Auction Supplies (plaques, bidding sheets)

Total Request: \$5,349.70

Received funding this year: No
Will use UK Managed Print Services: Yes
Open to all students: Yes
Agreed to Terms & Conditions: Yes

UNOFFICIAL

Name of Org: Trial Advocacy Board
Members in Org: 50
Contact: Lynsey Freeman
Email: lynsey.freeman@uky.edu

Phone: 270-519-9662

Purpose of Org: Trial Advocacy Board helps law students advance their trial and litigation skills, in addition to addressing important issues in the arena of social justice. Trial Advocacy Board provides real life experience through mock trials and workshops. The Board also works to bring speakers to address important issues facing our justice system.

Type of Grant: General Funding (\$750)

Event/Project: Doug Jones: The Birmingham Church Bombings

Location: UK Law School and UK Campus

Date: 3/4/14

Time: 12:00 p.m. and other times

Purpose of Event/Project: Doug Jones grew up during the 1960's Birmingham church bombings. As a young boy he promised to bring justice to the victims. Nearly 40 years later he prosecuted two of the responsible members. Mr. Jones has spoken across the country about the Civil Rights movement, how he resolved the case, and the importance of public service.

Mr. Jones will speak at the law school and will make one to two public appearances during his stay. Planning for the one to two public appearances is under way; he will speak at the Lyric Theater or Singletary Center for the public event. President Capiluto has arranged a reception for Mr. Jones.

Total Cost: \$7,000

Org funds used: The law school and Trial Advocacy Board are working together to pay for Mr. Jones' travel, hotel, and food. The Trial Advocacy Board will help pay part of his speaking fee through fundraisers we have held. Specifically, we have sold water bottles and are holding an event at City BBQ.

Detailed Description of Request: Mr. Jones normally charges a \$5,000 speaking fee. We are requesting \$2,500 to go towards his speaking fee.

Received funding this year: No

Will use UK Managed Print Services: Yes

Open to all students: Yes

Agreed to Terms & Conditions: Yes

An Act Relating To: Election Legislation		S. 24-2015
Sponsors:	Senator Dwight Haggard	
Introduced:	09/17/14	

**BE IT ENACTED THAT THE STUDENT GOVERNMENT
ASSOCIATION OF THE UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY**

Amend Section V. Violations Subsection 4 of the 2014 Student Government Elections Legislation and 2013 Freshman Elections Legislation to state:

The supreme court may choose to nullify a percentage of all votes cast, from one to one hundred percent, if violations are reported and substantiated for egregious conduct.

V. Violations

A. If a candidate is found to have violated any of the policies outlined in Section IV above, the Student Government Supreme Court can sanction the candidate as follows:

1. The Supreme Court may choose to warn the candidate in written or oral form that he or she has violated the Student Government Association Elections Policies and notify them that further violations can result in revocation of candidacy or nullification of the candidate's votes.
2. The Supreme Court may place a fine on a candidate for campaign violations up to twenty five dollars (\$25) per violation, not to exceed one hundred dollars (\$100) per election per candidate.
3. The Supreme Court may choose to revoke the candidacy for egregious conduct, with or without prior warning.
4. The Supreme Court may choose to nullify all votes cast for a candidate if violations are reported after the polling is closed for egregious conduct.
5. All rulings by the Supreme Court of a disciplinary nature may be referred to the Vice President for Student Affairs.

UNOFFICIAL